



California ISO

Extended Day Ahead Market
Working Group 2 Weekly Report

Week 6 Report
2/07/22 – 2/11/22

Progress Tracker

Topic	Schedule
Transmission Availability	
Definition of "buckets"	Jan 6 – Feb 17
Types of transmission made available	Jan 11- Feb 17
Transmission overlap or RSE	Jan 11- Feb 17
Third party reserved transmission	Jan 11- Feb 17
How unused transmission can be made available	Jan 11- Feb 17
Utilization of transmission internal EDAM entity network	Jan 11-27
Timing and Duration	
Timing and duration transmission is made available	Feb 1-17
Transmission Unavailability	
Consequences if available in EDAM but not in RT	Feb 3-17
Reliability or cost allocation concerns	Feb 3-17
Compensation	
Compensation of transmission made available	Feb 3-22
Congestion Rent Allocation	
Congestion rent allocated between BAAs	Feb 3-Mar 3
Distribution of congestion rent from BAA to LSE/customers	Feb 3-Mar 3
External Resource Participation	
Facilitation of Intertie bidding/external resource participation	Mar 15-22

Weekly Discussion

February 8

There was no meeting today. For the last two weeks we have been scaling the cadence of the meetings to one meeting per week instead of two meetings per week. This scaling down will provide the ISO and stakeholders with an opportunity to organize among themselves, discuss concepts and frameworks presented thus far, form perspectives and allow for more active participation at subsequent work group sessions.

Working groups will return to their original twice a week cadence the week of February 14th.

February 10

Scope Items Discussed: Transfer revenue distribution

Presenters: James Lynn – CAISO

Discussion:

The objective of this meeting was to continue the discussion on transfer revenue distribution using the example workbook provided by James Lynn. The discussion is focused on congestion rent compensation for Bucket 2 transmission.

The ISO is working on putting together a series of examples regarding OATT and non-OATT rights across multiple BAAs, as well as imports from or exports out of EDAM BAAs to non-participating EDAM BAAs. Today the discussion will focus on congestion rent compensation framework for Bucket 2 transfer transmission.

James Lynn, continued walking the stakeholders through a discussion around EDAM transfers, specifically the impact to energy transfer system resources (ETSR) and intertie transmission constraints (ITC) possible cost allocations. James' presentation, as well as the examples he covered are available on the working group website. The examples generated questions and dialogue between stakeholders. Some questions around ITC and where the congestion rent should flow arose. Stakeholders offered various options, but there was not a majority consensus reached.

Conclusion:

James' presentation and workbook generated a lot of questions. Some topics still need to be discussed at more length in future meetings, including at what percentage to split the revenue for ETSRs between EDAM BAAs and the allocation of ITC.

Next week the ISO will walk through the transfer transmission rights examples.

As a reminder next week the working groups will be going back to the twice a week cadence.